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#### Abstract

We report the discovery with the Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite（TESS）of a third set of eclipses from V994 Herculis （V994 Her，TIC 424508303），previously only known as a doubly eclipsing system．The key implication of this discovery and our analyses is that V994 Her is the second fully characterized $(2+2)+2$ sextuple system，in which all three binaries eclipse． In this work，we use a combination of ground－based observations and TESS data to analyse the eclipses of binaries A and B in order to update the parameters of the inner quadruple＇s orbit（with a derived period of $1062 \pm 2 \mathrm{~d}$ ）．The eclipses of binary C that were detected in the TESS data were also found in older ground－based observations，as well as in more recently obtained observations．The eclipse timing variations of all three pairs were studied in order to detect the mutual perturbations of their constituent stars，as well as those of the inner pairs in the $(2+2)$ core．At the longest periods they arise from apsidal motion， which may help constraining parameters of the component stars＇internal structure．We also discuss the relative proximity of the periods of binaries $A$ and $B$ to a $3: 2$ mean motion resonance．This work represents a step forward in the development of techniques to better understand and characterize multiple star systems，especially those with multiple eclipsing components．
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## 1 INTRODUCTION

＊E－mail：zasche＠sirrah．troja．mff．cuni．cz
Multiple star systems consisting of three or more stars are estimated to make up at least 30 per cent of binaries，based on a statistical analysis of Kepler data in Borkovits et al．（2016）．However，only

Table 1. Archival properties of the V994 Her visual double star.

| Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { V994 Her } \\ \text { TIC } 424508303 \end{gathered}$ | TYC 2110-1170-2 <br> TIC 1685970000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RA (J2000, ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 276.941222 | 276.941246 |
| Dec. (J2000, ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 24.697407 | 24.697757 |
| TESS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $7.037 \pm 0.017$ | $8.3949 \pm 0.6$ |
| $B^{a}$ | $7.136 \pm 0.024$ |  |
| $V^{a}$ | $6.9599 \pm 0.023$ |  |
| $J^{a}$ | $6.948 \pm 0.019$ |  |
| $H^{a}$ | $6.999 \pm 0.0036$ |  |
| $K^{a}$ | $6.989 \pm 0.023$ |  |
| $W 1^{\text {b }}$ | $6.844 \pm 0.07$ |  |
| $W 2^{\text {b }}$ | $6.838 \pm 0.02$ |  |
| $W 3^{\text {b }}$ | $6.903 \pm 0.018$ |  |
| $W 4^{b}$ | $6.732 \pm 0.067$ |  |
| $G^{c}$ | 7.0966 | 8.8761 |
| $G_{\text {Bp }}{ }^{c}$ | 6.9898 | 6.9842 |
| $G_{\mathrm{Rp}}{ }^{c}$ | 6.9358 | 6.9869 |
| Parallax ${ }^{c}$ (mas) | $3.43639 \pm 0.08394$ | $3.48065 \pm 0.09849$ |
| $\mathrm{PM}^{c}\left(\mathrm{RA}\right.$, mas yr ${ }^{-1}$ ) | $5.5770 \pm 0.0701$ | $4.8802 \pm 0.1275$ |
| $\mathrm{PM}^{c}$ (Dec., mas yr ${ }^{-1}$ ) | $11.2675 \pm 0.0765$ | $7.1568 \pm 0.0834$ |

Note. Magnitudes are from ${ }^{a}$ TIC-8 catalogue (Stassun et al. 2019); ${ }^{b}$ WISE point source catalogue (Cutri et al. 2021); and ${ }^{c}$ Gaia DR3. PM stands for proper motion (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2022). Some parameters for the visual companion TIC 1685970000 are difficult to come by, as the brighter primary star is $1.1 \operatorname{arcsec}$ away from it, making measurements difficult.

2000 have been observed in detail, and the number of systems known with multiplicities higher than 5 is $\lesssim 50$ (Tokovinin 2018). Understanding these high-multiplicity systems is important, as they can shed new light on many of the open questions that remain in currently accepted models of stellar formation and provide insight into the dynamical interactions of multiple stars (Aarseth \& Mardling 2001).

V994 Herculis (V994 Her, TIC 424508303) is a bright, wellstudied quadruple system, with two known eclipsing binary components (Lee et al. 2008). At the time, this was the first known doubly eclipsing quadruple system. The component stars are bright and massive, and the periods of the two eclipsing binary components have been well constrained: binary A consists of a B8V and an A0V star, with a period of 2.083 d ; binary B consists of an A2V and an A 4 V star, with a period of 1.420 d . These stars are young and occupy a position near the zero-age main sequence (ZAMS) on the Hertzsprung-Russell (H-R) diagram.

Martín-Ruiz et al. (2013) initially postulated, based on analyses of photometric data, that this system could harbour another eclipsing binary. However, because the quality of their data was rather poor, with relatively low photometric precision, their results were not conclusive enough. With the advent of high-precision space-based survey missions such as the Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS; Ricker et al. 2015), we are able to conclusively confirm the presence of a third set of eclipses using the TESS light curves. Table 1 contains basic information about V994 Her and a nearby visual companion (separated by $\approx 1$ arcsec).

Zasche \& Uhlař (2016) were the first to accurately constrain the period of the inner binaries' (A and B) revolution about their common centre of mass $(\simeq 1060$ d in their study $)$. Additionally, they argued that TIC 1685970000, a faint ( $m_{V} \sim 8.8$ ) neighbour some 1.1 arcsec away from V994 Her, is also gravitationally bound to the main quadruple, making it one of the few known quintuple star systems. This putative close companion has been observed many times since its discovery as a visual double in 1831, and these measurements have been
catalogued in the Washington Double Star Catalog (WDS; Mason et al. 2001). The WDS calls the known quadruple a 'primary star', and the fainter companion a 'secondary star'. However, any physical connection between these two visually close objects has not yet been conclusively proven; further follow-up and analyses (such as those in Section 5.1) can resolve this question.

In this paper, we introduce V994 Her as a bona fide triply eclipsing sextuple star system, which we identified using TESS data. In addition to the known set of two binaries, the system also consists of a third binary of period 1.96 d , and we demonstrate that the visual companion listed in the WDS is likely gravitationally bound to the primary star. In Section 2, we describe all available observational data and how they were prepared and used for the analysis. Then, Section 3 provides detailed modelling of the available data, while Section 4 discusses the results of our modelling. Finally, in Section 5, we discuss the possible architecture of the whole system that we infer from our findings and comment on the proximity of the inner $2+2$ component (binaries A and B ) to their mutual 3:2 mean motion resonance.

## 2 OBSERVATIONS OF V994 HER

### 2.1 TESS observations

V994 Her was observed by TESS during Year 2 in Sector 26 (i.e. 2020 June), and during Year 4 in Sectors 40 and 53 (i.e. 2021 July and 2022 June). In Sector 26, this star was observed at 2-min cadence; this light curve was pre-processed and detrended by the Science Processing Operations Center (SPOC) pipeline (Jenkins et al. 2016), which is partially based on that used for Kepler data. The detrended SPOC light curve from Sector 26 is shown in Fig. 1. For the Year 4 observations, however, only the full-frame image (FFI) data (at $10-\mathrm{min}$ cadence) are available. These data were processed using the convolution-based differential image analysis methods of the FITSHpackage (Pál 2012). V994 Her's triply eclipsing nature was identified both algorithmically and through a visual survey ${ }^{1}$ of all stars brighter than 13.5 mag in the TESS FFIs (for more information on the latter initiative, see Kristiansen et al. 2022).

### 2.1.1 Three methods for disentangling

Using the TESS data, we applied three different methods to disentangle the combined light curve into the three-component eclipsing signals: the time domain iterative disentanglement method, the Fourier decomposition method, and the iterative phenomenological model method. Results for all three methods are plotted side by side in Fig. 2.

First, we used the method of time domain iterative disentanglement. This technique is a powerful tool for separating the light curves of strongly blended targets, and was described in detail in section 3 of Powell et al. (2021), where it was applied for the first time to disentangle the blended light curves of three eclipsing binaries.

To verify our results and compare the three methods, we used two other methods to disentangle the light curves. The second one is the Fourier-based iterative method, which was also described in section 3.1 of Powell et al. (2021). Such a technique is suitable for these data because all the signals of interest are strictly periodic over at least one sector of TESS data, wherein movement on the longer outer orbit can be neglected. The third and final method is
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Figure 1. The TESS Sector 26 light curve of TIC 424508303, also known as V994 Her. The $x$-axis is plotted in Barycentric TESS Julian Day (BTJD), which corresponds to BJD - 2457000.0 . The main plot shows the full 25-d light curve, which includes multiple eclipses from the previously known eclipsing binaries A and B (Lee et al. 2008; Zasche \& Uhlař 2016). It also contains relatively shallow eclipses from the new binary C, discussed in this work. The inset panel shows a zoom-in on a roughly $9-\mathrm{d}$ segment of the data. Three clearly visible primary eclipses of the C binary are overplotted in blue, while the eclipse lost in a deeper eclipse from the A binary is indicated with a blue line above its expected location. The (shallow) secondary eclipses of the C binary are overplotted in gold, with the gold line at BTJD $\sim 2017.8$ indicating a secondary eclipse that is lost in one of the deeper eclipses from the 'main' quadruple.


Figure 2. The disentangled and folded light curves of all three eclipsing binaries $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C using different approaches: the time domain iterative disentanglement (i.e. method 1), the Fourier decomposition (method 2), and the iterative phenomenological model methods (method 3), respectively. For details see the text.
based on iteratively fitting the individual pairs with their respective phenomenological models and then subtracting these from the overall light curve. After a few (usually two to five) iterative steps, a shape for the eclipsing light curve of the C pair was clearly obtained. The method itself and the code used here are described in the appendix of Pejcha et al. (2022).

Apart from the three eclipsing signals, the light curve also exhibits an additional pulsation-like oscillation. Such a variation shows a periodicity of $\left(P_{\mathrm{A}}-P_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$. This extra feature is apparently not present in the Fourier-disentangled light curve, as well as in the phenomenologically disentangled one (see the bottom panels of Fig. 2). This may be due to the subtraction of this signal as part of the disentanglement process. Unfortunately, we have not yet been able to come up with a coherent astrophysical explanation of this signal.

We found the first method of time domain iterative disentanglement as the most suitable for a subsequent analysis of the individual light curves, which is discussed in Section 3. This is mainly due to problematic fitting of outside-eclipse parts of the light curves by the methods 2 and 3 .

To derive the precise times of eclipses of each binary, we used the result of the time domain iterative disentanglement method. These were calculated for each binary after subtraction of the light curves of the other two pairs. Eclipse times of each binary, as observed in TESS, are presented in Tables A1-A3.

### 2.2 Ground-based photometric observations

### 2.2.1 Baja Astronomical Observatory, Hungary (2007)

V994 Her was observed with the $50-\mathrm{cm} \mathrm{f} / 6$ modified Cassegrain Baja Astronomical Robotic Telescope 1 (BART-1), located at the Baja Astronomical Observatory in Hungary, on 40 nights between 2007 June 18 and 2007 October 9. The observations were carried out with a $4096 \times 4096$ Apogee Alta U16 CCD camera, using a standard Johnson $V$ filter.

The original goal of this photometric monitoring of V994 Her was to prove and publish for the first time the previously unknown doubly eclipsing nature of this system; however, Lee et al. (2008) independently discovered and characterized this system's true nature. Thus, our team at the time chose to not further analyse the data and simply published the derived times of minima in Borkovits et al. (2011). However, we make use of this archival photometric data set in this work, as it is especially useful for an additional constraining of the apsidal advance rates of binaries A and B through the complete light-curve fittings and, also for checking the constancy (or variability) of the eclipse depths within a one and half decade long interval.

### 2.2.2 Additional observations

V994 Her was monitored over several dozens of nights by the author R. Uhlař (RU) at his private observatory in the Czech Republic, as well as remotely from northern Italy using three different telescopes: a $34-\mathrm{mm}$ refractor, a $150-\mathrm{mm}$ reflector, and a $200-\mathrm{mm}$ reflector. Some of these observations were obtained using filtered photometry (usually with $R$ or $I$ filters), while others were carried out without any filter. Because of the different instrumental set-ups of these instruments, the comparison stars were different for each telescope; however, they were always chosen to be adequately close to the target and of a similar spectral type in order to minimize the effect of differential extinction during the nights. Additionally, four more nights of data were obtained by the $250-\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{F} /(\mathrm{Ph})$ otometric

Robotic Atmospheric Monitor (FRAM) telescope Cherenkov Telescope Array-North (CTA-N), located on the island of La Palma, Spain (Prouza et al. 2019). We also have data from one night of observations by the author M. Mašek (MM) at his private observatory in the Czech Republic, using a $200-\mathrm{mm}$ reflector. From the combination of these data sets, more than 40 new times of eclipses for pair A were derived, and more than 30 for pair B. Several new estimates for pair C were also calculated; however, these are of lower quality due to the significantly lower photometric amplitude of its variation.

Between 2002 June 10 and 2004 July 14, a total of 1170 measurements in $V$ band and 653 in $B$ band were collected for V994 Her by the authors S. Dallaporta (SD) and U. Munari (UM), using a $28-\mathrm{cm}$ telescope located in Cembra (Trento, Italy). This telescope was equipped with an Optec SSP-5 photoelectric photometer and Johnson $B$ and $V$ filters. The comparison and check stars were, respectively, HIP 89975 ( $V=6.978 \mathrm{mag}, B-V=-0.095 \mathrm{mag}$ ) and HIP 90637 ( $V=5.862 \mathrm{mag}, B-V=-0.099 \mathrm{mag})$. These stars are nearly identical in $B-V$ colour to V994 Her and are located nearby on the sky ( $\leq 2^{\circ}$ angular separation). From these data, we were also able to derive several times of eclipses for both the A and B pairs. Moreover, we were able to derive a rough value for the times of eclipse for pair C , which allowed us to significantly improve our estimate of its orbital period due to the increased time coverage.

All the previously unpublished eclipse times are given in Table A4. The minima presented in this work for the first time, as well as the previously published ones, were used for a final fit of the data over the whole interval (covering more than 30 yr now). This is shown in Fig. 3 for all three pairs.

### 2.3 Other catalogues

We queried the WDS for archival data on V994 Her and its nearby visual companion (TIC 1685970000), with measurements spanning from 1831 to 2015. This data consisted of position angles and separations for the system between these years. Additionally, we calculated the position angle and separation for the visual double using data from Gaia Data Release 3 (DR3; Gaia Collaboration et al. 2022). These data were used in Section 5.1 to investigate whether or not the visual double star is gravitationally bound.

### 2.4 Speckle observation

V994 Her was observed on 2022 May 10 using the 'Alopeke speckle interferometric imager mounted on the Gemini North 8-m telescope (Scott et al. 2021). ‘Alopeke provides simultaneous speckle imaging in two bands ( 562 and 832 nm ), with output data products including a reconstructed image and derived parameters for any detected close companion stars. Three sets of $1000 \times 0.06 \mathrm{~s}$ exposures were collected; these underwent Fourier analysis in the standard reduction pipeline (Howell et al. 2011).

Fig. 4 shows the image around V994 Her, with a bright component to the south (hereafter 'Image 1') that hosts binaries A and B. The fainter image to the north (hereafter 'Image 2 ') is 1.06 arcsec away, and we believe that this image hosts binary C, as discussed in Section 5.1. At the 290 pc distance to V994 Her (derived from the Gaia DR3 parallax), this corresponds to a spatial separation of $\sim 307 \mathrm{au}$. The middle panel shows a zoom-in around Image 1 , revealing that there are no resolved components within it, down to a limiting resolution of $\lesssim 0.1 \mathrm{arcsec}$. Both the image of the quadruple system to the south (Image 1), and binary C (likely residing in Image 2) remain unresolved into their component parts - respectively, either


Figure 3. Eclipse timing variations (ETVs) of V994 Her collected over the past three decades, with the TESS eclipses included. The top, middle, and bottom panels show the ETVs for binary A, B, and C, in that order; the red and blue points denote the primary and secondary eclipses, respectively. The red and blue curves are the photodynamical fitting models. The 'divergence' of the ETVs for the primary and secondary eclipses is due to apsidal motion.
the A and B binaries, and the primary and secondary star in binary C - as these components are separated on the sky by less than our 20 mas nominal angular resolution. This value is the Gemini optical diffraction limit when Nyquist sampled with $2 \times 0.01$ arcsec pixels. Our derived $5 \sigma$ contrast curves for this observation, for both the 562 and 832 nm images, are shown in the bottom panel. These curves will be further discussed in Section 5.1 as we attempt to rule out the possibility that binary C might actually be located in Image 1.


Figure 4. Speckle imaging of V994 Her. North is up and east is to the left. Top panel: 832 nm image of a $1.5 \times 1.5 \operatorname{arcsec}^{2}$ region near V994 Her. We define the brighter feature to the south as 'Image 1', which contains binaries A and B. We label the $\sim 1.7 \mathrm{mag}$ fainter object to the north as 'Image 2 '. Middle panel: same as top panel but zoomed in around Image 1. Each pixel is 0.01 arcsec in size. Bottom panel: $5 \sigma$ confidence level contrast curves (obtained at 562 and 832 nm ). The image spans angular scales from the diffraction limit, near 20 mas, out to $\sim 1$ arcsec, the approximate end of speckle coherence. The dotted black lines mark the detectable separation distance of $\sim 0.06$ arcsec of a source that is 2.2 mag fainter than Image 1 itself (i.e. the approximate brightness of binary C).

Table 2. System parameters derived from speckle imaging. ${ }^{a}$

| Parameter | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| Obs. date (JD) | $2459710.018 \pm 0.001$ |
| Position angle $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ | $357.5 \pm 0.5$ |
| Separation $(\operatorname{arcsec})$ | $1.06 \pm 0.01$ |
| $\delta V(\mathrm{mag})^{b}$ | $1.89 \pm 0.2$ |
| $\delta I(\mathrm{mag})^{b}$ | $1.67 \pm 0.2$ |

Notes. ${ }^{a}$ Observations made at 562 and 832 nm with the 'Alopeke speckle interferometric imager mounted on the Gemini North $8-\mathrm{m}$ telescope (Scott et al. 2021).
${ }^{b}$ Difference in magnitude between Image 1 (containing binaries A and B) and Image 2 (likely hosting binary C).

The system properties gleaned from the speckle observations are summarized in Table 2.

## 3 PHOTODYNAMICAL MODELLING

We carried out a joint photodynamical modelling in which we combined the three sectors of TESS data alongside the 2007 V -band Baja light curves. As part of this, we also modelled the eclipse timing variation (ETV) curves of all three binaries, the radial velocity (RV) points of binaries A and B obtained by Lee et al. (2008), and the net stellar spectral energy distributions (SEDs). To prepare for this analysis, we improved the LIGHTCURVEFACTORY software package to allow it to handle hierarchical configurations of $(2+2)+2$ stars in their entirety. Specifically, the updated code calculates the revolutions of the six bodies on their three inner orbits, the middle orbit (i.e. of the quadruple), and the outer orbit (i.e. of the sextuple). All five orbits may be considered either to be purely Keplerian or, for tight systems, LIGHTCURVEFACTORY is able to take into account the mutual perturbations of the constituent stars with numerical integration of the orbital motions. Moreover, any combinations of two-body or multiple-body eclipses are also considered. The updated code does not require the disentangling of the three eclipsing binary light curves; rather, they can be modelled in their observed, blended form (e.g. as shown in Fig. 1). Apart from this improvement, the software package is functionally identical to that described in previous work (see e.g. Borkovits et al. 2019, 2021).

For the specific case of V994 Her, we find that binaries A and B form a relatively wide $2+2$ quadruple system $\left(P_{\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{B}} / P_{\mathrm{B}}>P_{\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{B}} / P_{\mathrm{A}}\right.$ $>500$ ). As a result, the gravitational perturbations of the binary components in the $2+2$ quadruple are small and can be described by simple Keplerian orbits. Therefore, we use a simple analytic Keplerian formalism in order to calculate the stellar positions at any given time, with the slight empirical modification of considering, for all three binaries, a constant apsidal advance rate ( $\dot{\omega}_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}}$ ), and reference values for the argument of periastron $\left(\omega_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}}\right)$ at a specific epoch. A physical interpretation of the apsidal motion is discussed in Section 4.1.

In our joint photodynamical analysis, we optimized the following parameters using a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method.
(i) Orbit-related parameters
(a) For all four orbits (three eclipsing pairs and the quadruple $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{B}$ ): the components of the eccentricity vectors at epoch $t_{0}:(e \sin \omega)_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{A}-\mathrm{B}},(e \cos \omega)_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{A}-\mathrm{B}}$, and the inclinations relative to the plane of the sky: $i_{\mathrm{A}}, i_{\mathrm{B}}, i_{\mathrm{C}}$, and $i_{\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{B}}$.
(b) For the A-B orbit: the period $P_{\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{B}}$ and the periastron passage time $\tau_{\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{B}}$.
(c) For the three eclipsing pairs: the (constant) apsidal advance rates: $\dot{\omega}_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}}$.
(ii) Stellar parameters
(a) Six mass-related parameters: the masses of the primaries ( $m_{\mathrm{Aa}, \mathrm{Ba}, \mathrm{Ca}}$ ), and the mass ratios of the three eclipsing binaries ( $q_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}}$ ).
(b) The metallicity of the system $([M / H])$.
(c) The (logarithmic) age of the six coeval stars $(\log \tau)$.
(d) The interstellar reddening $E(B-V)$.
(e) The 'extra light' contamination $(\ell)$ parameters.

A couple of other parameters were constrained instead of being adjusted or held constant during our analyses.
(i) Orbits
(a) The sidereal orbital periods of the inner binaries $\left(P_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}}\right)$ and their respective orbital phases (derived using the time of an arbitrary primary eclipse) were constrained internally through the ETV curves.
(b) The systemic RV of the whole sextuple system ( $\gamma$ ) is calculated a posteriori at the end of each trial step by minimizing the value of $\chi_{\mathrm{RV}}^{2}$.
Note that the $(2+2)+2$ mode of LIGHTCURVEFACTORY requires the orbital elements of the outermost ( $\mathrm{AB}-\mathrm{C}$ ) orbit. In the present case, this orbit is completely unknown. We do know, however, that it must be so wide that we do not expect any observable variations in the positions of the six stars arising from their motion along this orbit. Thus, we chose the elements of this outmost orbit arbitrarily; we use a circular orbit seen face-on with a period of $\sim 30 \mathrm{kyr}$ with its parameters kept fixed.
(ii) Stars
(a) The radii and temperatures of the six stars were calculated with the use of three linear interpolations from the pre-computed 3D PAdova and TRieste Stellar Evolution Code (PARSEC) grids (the dimensions were metallicity, logarithmic age, and stellar mass).
(b) The distance of the system (needed for the SED fitting) was calculated a posteriori at the end of each trial step, by minimizing the value of $\chi_{\text {SED }}^{2}$. For a detailed explanation of this process, see Borkovits et al. (2020).

The atmospheric parameters of the stars were handled in a similar manner as in our previous photodynamical studies. We utilized a logarithmic limb-darkening law (Klinglesmith \& Sobieski 1970), for which the passband-dependent linear and non-linear coefficients were interpolated in each trial step via the tables from the original version of the PHOEBE software (Prša \& Zwitter 2005). We set the (constant) gravity-darkening exponents for five radiative stars to $\beta=$ 1 ; for the coolest, solar-like component Cb , however, we used $\beta=$ 0.32 , which is in line with the classical model of Lucy (1967) and is valid for convective stars.

Prior to conducting our analysis, we performed some further preparatory steps on the light curves. First, after disentangling the three eclipsing binaries as in Section 2.1.1, we found that the residual TESS light curves contained oscillations with an amplitude of approximately 2 per cent and a characteristic period of $\left(P_{\mathrm{A}}-P_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$. We removed this oscillation from the light curves before performing the full photodynamical analysis by subtracting the final residual light curve of the iterative disentanglement process (which contained this periodic variability) from the original TESS light curves for each

Table 3. Median values of the parameters from the joint spectrophotodynamical analysis of (i) all three eclipsing binaries light curves, (ii) both sets of radial velocities from the SB2 (i.e. the quadruple consisting of binaries A and B), (iii) all three sets of eclipse timing variations (ETVs), and (iv) joint spectral energy distribution (SED) and PAdova and TRieste Stellar Evolution Code (PARSEC) evolutionary tracks.

| Parameter | Binary A |  | Binary B |  | Binary C |  | A-B orbit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{d})$ $a\left(\mathrm{R}_{\odot}\right)$ | 2.0832039 11 | 0.0000042 0.0000039 0.17 0.11 | 1.420098 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0000033 \\ & 0.00000040 \\ & .07 \\ & .05 \end{aligned}$ | 1.9601 $9 .$ | ( 0.0000018 | $\begin{gathered} 1062.3_{-2.4}^{+2.8} \\ 910_{-8}^{+12} \end{gathered}$ |
| $i^{a}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $84.66{ }_{-0.33}^{+0.20}$ |  | $89.19_{-0.62}^{+0.63}$ |  | $80.42_{-0.99}^{+2.39}$ |  | $83_{-5}^{+4}$ |
| $e$ | $0.0276_{-0.0010}^{+0.0010}$ |  | $0.1186_{-0.0007}^{+0.0007}$ |  | $0.1893{ }_{-0.0046}^{+0.0052}$ |  | $0.687_{-0.037}^{+0.050}$ |
| $\omega\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $208.4_{-4.3}^{+3.4}$ |  | $174.7_{-2.7}^{+2.4}$ |  | $314.0_{-2.3}^{+3.4}$ |  | $59.6{ }_{-3.9}^{+3.6}$ |
| $\dot{\omega}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right)$ | $1.86{ }_{-0.55}^{+0.42}$ |  | $3.66{ }_{-0.16}^{+0.17}$ |  | $1.68{ }_{-0.24}^{+0.24}$ |  | - |
| $\tau$ [BJD - 2400000 ] | $59010.855_{-0.025}^{+0.020}$ |  | $59010.393_{-0.011}^{+0.009}$ |  | $59009.306_{-0.007}^{+0.007}$ |  | $58166.8_{-9.3}^{+13.6}$ |
| $t_{\text {prim eclipse }}(\mathrm{BJD}-2400000)$ | $59011.1821_{-0.0019}^{+0.0014}$ |  | $59010.7324_{-0.0021}^{+0.0028}$ |  | $59011.1246_{-0.0004}^{+0.0004}$ |  | - |
| $q\left(=m_{2} / m_{1}\right)$ | $0.757_{-0.009}^{+0.008}$ |  | $1.009_{-0.009}^{+0.009}$ |  | $0.583_{-0.074}^{+0.104}$ |  | $0.738_{-0.013}^{+0.017}$ |
| $K_{\text {pri }}\left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | $124_{-2}^{+2}$ |  | $150_{-1}^{+1}$ |  | $90_{-8}^{+11}$ |  | $25_{-1}^{+2}$ |
| $K_{\text {sec }}\left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | $163_{-2}^{+2}$ |  | $148_{-1}^{+1}$ |  | $154_{-7}^{+8}$ |  | $34_{-2}^{+3}$ |
| $\gamma\left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | - |  | - |  | - |  | $-38.7_{-0.3}^{+0.3}$ |
| Individual stars | Aa | Ab | Ba | Bb | Ca | Cb |  |
| Relative quantities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fractional radius ${ }^{b}$ ( $R / a$ ) | $0.1785_{-0.0026}^{+0.0022}$ | $0.1452_{-0.0015}^{+0.0013}$ | $0.1895_{-0.0019}^{+0.0019}$ | $0.1903_{-0.0017}^{+0.0018}$ | $0.1648_{-0.0039}^{+0.0038}$ | $0.1016_{-0.0106}^{+0.0198}$ |  |
| Fractional luminosity in TESS band | $0.3822_{-0.0143}^{+0.0099}$ | $0.1847_{-0.0072}^{+0.0075}$ | $0.1227_{-0.0022}^{+0.0025}$ | $0.1258_{-0.0025}^{+0.0029}$ | $0.1086_{-0.0107}^{+0.0199}$ | $0.0145_{-0.0055}^{+0.0113}$ |  |
| Fractional luminosity in $V$ band | $0.4115_{-0.0175}^{+0.0169}$ | $0.1909_{-0.0115}^{+0.0098}$ | $0.1184_{-0.0054}^{+0.0041}$ | $0.1220_{-0.0056}^{+0.047}$ | $0.1021_{-0.0163}^{+0.027}$ | $0.0085_{-0.0035}^{+0.0074}$ |  |
| Extra light ( $\ell_{\text {S26 }}$ ) |  |  |  | $0.0576_{-0.0221}^{+0.0155}$ |  |  |  |
| $\left(\ell_{\text {S40, }}{ }^{\text {a }}\right.$ ) |  |  |  | $0.1808_{-0.0215}^{+0.0141}$ |  |  |  |
| $\left(\ell_{V}\right)$ |  |  |  | $0.0366_{-0.0256}^{+0.0334}$ |  |  |  |
| Physical quantities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $T_{\text {eff }}{ }^{c}$ (K) | $11890_{-264}^{+310}$ | $9915_{-201}^{+256}$ | $8832_{-156}^{+158}$ | $8895_{-170}^{+175}$ | $8514_{-310}^{+514}$ | $5893{ }_{-384}^{+451}$ |  |
| Mass ( $\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ ) | $2.929_{-0.093}^{+0.124}$ | $2.216_{-0.070}^{+0.106}$ | $1.913_{-0.040}^{+0.050}$ | $1.889_{-0.049}^{+0.055}$ | $1.810_{-0.072}^{+0.169}$ | $1.077_{-0.109}^{+0.162}$ |  |
| Radius ${ }^{c}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\odot}\right)$ | $2.118_{-0.030}^{+0.026}$ | $1.721_{-0.031}^{+0.038}$ | $1.572_{-0.019}^{+0.028}$ | $1.579_{-0.018}^{+0.027}$ | $1.544_{-0.035}^{+0.071}$ | $0.961_{-0.115}^{+0.199}$ |  |
| Luminosity ${ }^{c}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{\odot}\right)$ | $80.2_{-7.7}^{+10.4}$ | $25.7{ }_{-2.6}^{+3.9}$ | $13.5{ }_{-1.0}^{+1.1}$ | $14.1_{-1.2}^{+1.3}$ | $11.2_{-1.8}^{+4.4}$ | $1.00_{-0.41}^{+0.94}$ |  |
| ( $M_{\text {bol }}$ ) | $0.01_{-0.13}^{+0.11}$ | $1.25{ }_{-0.16}^{+0.12}$ | $1.94{ }_{-0.09}^{+0.09}$ | $1.90{ }_{-0.09}^{+0.10}$ | $2.15{ }_{-0.36}^{+0.19}$ | $4.77_{-0.72}^{+0.57}$ |  |
| $\log g^{c}(\mathrm{cgs})$ | $4.252_{-0.013}^{+0.017}$ | $4.312_{-0.005}^{+0.005}$ | $4.321_{-0.007}^{+0.007}$ | $4.321_{-0.007}^{+0.007}$ | $4.317_{-0.007}^{+0.009}$ | $4.503_{-0.103}^{+0.065}$ |  |
| $\log$ (age) (dex) |  |  |  | $7.922_{-0.23}^{+0.12}$ |  |  |  |
| [M/H] (dex) |  |  |  | $0.073_{-0.056}^{+0.042}$ |  |  |  |
| $E(B-V)(\mathrm{mag})$ |  |  |  | $0.050_{-0.014}^{+0.011}$ |  |  |  |
| $\left(M_{V}\right)_{\text {tot }}{ }^{c}$ |  |  |  | $-0.30_{-0.07}^{+0.07}$ |  |  |  |
| Distance (pc) |  |  |  | $274{ }_{-6}^{+6}$ |  |  |  |

Notes. ${ }^{a}$ Calculated only from the sin $i$ terms. ${ }^{b}$ Polar radii. ${ }^{c}$ Interpolated from the PARSEC isochrones.
sector. Second, for the sake of equal sampling across sectors, we binned the 2-min Sector 26 TESS light curve to 10-min bins, identical to the cadence time of the Sectors 40 and 53 FFI light curves. We also binned the 2007 Baja photometry to $10-\mathrm{min}$ bins. Third, we noticed that the eclipse depths of all three binaries in Sector 26 were deeper by a few per cent than the corresponding eclipses in the Sectors 40 and 53 data; the depths in the latter two sectors were similar. As a result, we assume that in Sector 26, the ratio of contaminating light is somewhat lower than in the other two sectors. Thus, for the Sector 26 light curve, we adjusted the amount of contaminating light independent of Sectors 40 and 53. The effect of slightly different flux contamination for the same star in data from different TESS sectors has been studied previously in the literature (see e.g. Plachy et al. 2021).

The median values and their $1 \sigma$ uncertainties (derived from the MCMC calculation) for the orbital and physical parameters of the sextuple system, as well as some derived quantities, are tabulated in Table 3. Furthermore, a comparison of the observed and model light curves are plotted in Fig. 5, while a similar comparison for the ETV curves is shown in Fig. 3. Note that Table 3 presents the absolute physical parameters for the C binary and both its components, despite the fact that we do not have any directly
observed radial velocities for this pair. However, we have the RVs of both the A and B binaries and changes of the RVs on their mutual orbit. We can consequently derive the properties of this binary by using the LIGHTCURVEFACTORY code to combine the light curve modelling of the C binary and the SED of the overall system.

## 4 THE FINAL PARAMETERS

Our thorough modelling of the system also yields the position of each star in the H-R diagram. Because of the fact that the age of the system was also taken as a free parameter (under the assumption that all six stars are coeval), we can characterize its evolutionary state. From the calculated value of the system's logarithmic age (presented in Table 3), the system is rather young and therefore located close to the ZAMS. This is in agreement with the fact that all of the orbits are slightly eccentric, so the circularization process is still ongoing (see e.g. Claret \& Cunha 1997).

Only a few sextuple systems have well-constrained parameters, including their masses and orbital elements; as a result, it is not very easy to compare V994 Her with others. Interestingly, the recent


Figure 5. A section of the TESS Sector 40 light curve (blue points) after the removal of the oscillations with period $\left(P_{\mathrm{A}}-P_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$, plotted with the photodynamically fitted model light curve (red). We also plot the original light curve, including the oscillations with period $P_{\mathrm{A}}-P_{\mathrm{B}}$, using grey points. The short vertical solid and dashed lines along the $x$-axis, coloured red, blue and green, denote the mid-eclipse times of the primary and secondary eclipses of binaries A, B, and C, respectively. The residual curves are shown below the main light curve.
analysis of the sextuple system TIC 168789840 (Powell et al. 2021) revealed a vastly different configuration, wherein all three binary pairs have similar mass ratios. In V994 Her, our analysis suggests that all three components have very different mass ratios. Perhaps V994 Her is more similar to the well-known Castor system, which has a similar architecture, with its component binaries having very different mass ratios (see e.g. Tokovinin 2018).

The whole system is plausibly close to a coplanar configuration, given the inclination angles in Table 3. However, to derive its true orbital architecture we would also need to calculate the values for the longitude of the ascending node $\Omega$. To do so, one would need to derive a precise interferometric orbit, which is not available to us currently. Once this information is obtained, we can speculate whether or not the system can exhibit Kozai-Lidov cycles (Kozai 1962; Lidov 1962); however, these may be halted anyway by rapid precession of the pericentres of the component binaries (see Table 3 and Vokrouhlický 2016, for an example).

### 4.1 Apsidal motion

Given that we have multiple high-precision sets of eclipse times (see Tables A1-A4), and that each binary has an eccentric orbit, we are able to derive apsidal motion rates for all three pairs in the system. We find that this rate is approximately a few degrees per year, suggesting that their apsidal advance is not at all negligible. In order to properly interpret these empirically fitted rates, we first have to subtract any contributions from the apsidal advance that can be accurately computed.

First, we determine the relativistic contribution to the observed apsidal motion (see e.g. Claret \& Giménez 2010). Given the orbital and physical parameters in Table 3 we find this effect represents about 10 per cent, 8 per cent, and 6 per cent of the total for the binaries A, B, and C, respectively. Because these percentages are rather small, we can consider all three subsystems of V994 Her as classical apsidal rotators, rather than relativistic ones.

Because the inner $2+2$ component of binaries A and B is not too wide, there also exists a classical (Newtonian) contribution to their apsidal motion, arising from mutual dynamical perturbations


Figure 6. Results of the numerical modelling of the orbits of binaries A and $B$ and the quadruple $A B$. Here, we show the long-term evolution of the arguments of periastron (without the tidal term). Pair A is plotted in black; pair B in red; and their mutual orbit (A-B) in blue. See Section 4.1 for details.
between A and B. In order to estimate this effect, we ran a simulation using the $N$-body code developed by Brož (2017) and Brož et al. (2022). For the sake of definiteness, we assumed a nearly coplanar system configuration by imposing identical initial values of the nodal longitude for both the A and B orbits, with other orbital parameters taken from Table 3. We found that such mutual perturbations in the A and B system account for another 12 per cent and 5 per cent of the total apsidal motion in the respective component (see Fig. 6, which shows these contributions). The binary C is deemed to be distant enough from the A and B binaries so that we do not provide the classical apsidal contribution in this case.

With those two effects estimated and subtracted from the total values of the observed apsidal motions in the A, B, and C systems, we can assume that the remainder is attributable to the effect of the stellar tidal interactions. From these rates of $\dot{\omega}_{\text {tidal }}$, one can usually derive the internal structure constants and compare them with theoretical ones from stellar evolution models (e.g. Claret 2004). However, when comparing the results for pair B (which has the best coverage of its apsidal period, since it has the fastest rate), our resulting values deviate slightly from the predicted theoretical ones. The tidal contribution to the apsidal rate was found to be $\dot{\omega}_{\text {tidal }, \mathrm{B}}=(3.14 \pm 0.20) \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$, yielding an internal structure constant of $\log k_{2}=-2.44 \pm 0.05$, while the theoretical models of Claret (2004) suggest that its value should be $-2.36 \pm 0.02$. In order for the respective error intervals to overlap, one needs to have either larger uncertainties in the derived parameters, a slightly faster apsidal motion (of about 5 per cent), subsynchronous rotation of the component stars (about 20 per cent slower), or a combination of all three of these effects. Another way to account for this discrepancy is by using the fact that these stars, found to be very young, were likely born in a metal-rich environment. Using the stellar evolution models of Claret (2007) with a higher metallicity ( $Z=0.04$ ), we find $\log k_{2}=-2.40 \pm 0.02$, which is in much better agreement with the observed value of $\log k_{2}$.

The N -body modelling also allows us to estimate the apsidal advance of the quadruple orbit A-B (shown in Fig. 6). This motion

- accumulating to $\sim 0.6$ over the interval of available observations - is orders of magnitude slower when compared to the values for the A and B systems. However, over the next few decades, when the change will have cumulatively added up to a few degrees, one can readily detect such movement with newly obtained data. On the other hand, other effects such as the change in orbital inclination and eclipse depth would still be negligible on such a time-scale.

We also note that the eccentric orbits of the inner binaries are subject to the circularization effect. From the theory of circularization by Zahn (1977) and equations by Claret \& Cunha (1997) the appropriate circularization time-scales are of the order of magnitude longer than the estimated age of the system as resulted from our modelling.

## 5 DISCUSSION

### 5.1 V994 Her and its visual companion

In the prior sections, we conclusively demonstrated the presence of a third eclipsing binary in the V994 Her system. Here, we discuss the likelihood that binary C is hosted by Image 2 (fainter object to the north, as seen in Fig. 4), as well as the probability that Image 2 is physically bound to Image 1 . If so, this would give the system a $(2+2)+2$ configuration.

According to the photodynamical fit for the system parameters presented in Table 3, binary C has 14 per cent the light of binaries $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$ in the TESS band, and 13 per cent in $V$ band. That corresponds to magnitude differences of 2.1 and 2.2 , respectively, in the TESS and $V$ bands. The bottom panel of Fig. 4 suggests that these contrasts correspond to being able to resolve two objects within Image 1 (the brighter southerly object) that are separated by $\gtrsim 0.06$ arcsec. Since both Images 1 and 2 are 290 pc away, the resolvable physical separation at this magnitude contrast would correspond to 18 au . The actual semimajor axis of the binary A and B quadruple, which resides in Image 1, is 4.2 au (see Table 3). It is always possible, of course, that at the time of the speckle observations, the projected distance between the centre of light of binaries $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$ and a putative close orbiting neighbour (i.e. binary C ) might inadvertently be very small due to unlucky orbital phasing. Let us assume, however, for the sake of argument, that $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$ and C are at some nominal separation on the sky at this particular outer orbital phase when the speckle measurements were made. In that case, the outer orbit of C around $A+B$, within Image 1, would have to have a semimajor axis of not much more than $\sim 20$ au before it is resolvable.

For a stable triple system (i.e. C stably orbiting A +B ) the ratio of semimajor axes must satisfy
$a_{\text {out }} \gtrsim 2.8\left(\frac{M_{\mathrm{ABC}}}{M_{\mathrm{AB}}}\right)^{2 / 5} \frac{\left(1+e_{\text {out }}\right)^{2 / 5}}{\left(1-e_{\text {out }}\right)^{6 / 5}} a_{\text {in }}$,
where equation (1) is from Rappaport et al. (2013), which in turn is based on the work of Mardling \& Aarseth (2001) and Mikkola (2008). If we take as a very rough estimate that $a_{\text {out }} \leqslant 20$ au, and we know that $a_{\text {in }} \sim 4.2$ au, then we find a constraint on $e_{\text {out }}$ such that
$\frac{\left(1+e_{\text {out }}\right)^{2 / 5}}{\left(1-e_{\text {out }}\right)^{6 / 5}} \lesssim 1.5$.
In turn, this requires that $e_{\text {out }} \leqslant 0.25$. Thus, while this is not an unreasonably small value for an outer orbital eccentricity, we can see from this exercise, that there is 'not much room to spare' in trying to fit binary C into an orbit about binaries $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$, all within Image 1. Furthermore, recall that the contrast limits shown in Fig. 4 are $5 \sigma$

Table 4. Observational kinematics between Image 1 and Image 2.

| Cartesian motion $^{a}$ | mas yr $^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Gaia PM RA | $-0.70 \pm 0.14$ | $-0.96 \pm 0.20$ |
| WDS $^{b}$ PM RA | $-1.22 \pm 0.10$ | $-1.68 \pm 0.14$ |
| Gaia PM Dec. | $-4.11 \pm 0.11$ | $-5.66 \pm 0.16$ |
| WDS $^{b}$ PM Dec. | $-3.84 \pm 0.35$ | $-5.29 \pm 0.48$ |
| Angular motion | - | - |
| WDS $^{b, c} \dot{r}($ mas yr |  |  |
| WDS $^{b, c} \dot{\theta}\left(\mathrm{mrad} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right)$ | $-3.86 \pm 0.35$ | - |

Notes. ${ }^{a}$ Image 2 value - Image 1 value. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Washington Double Star Catalog (Mason et al. 2001). ${ }^{c}$ These refer to the rate of change in the separation and the position angle, respectively, and are inferred from the fits shown in Fig. 7.


Figure 7. A plot of position angle and separation for the two stars in the visual binary catalogued by the Washington Double Star Catalog (WDS; Mason et al. 2001) at the position of V994 Her.
limits. Thus, we tentatively conclude that binary C , in fact, is hosted by Image 2 (the fainter one to the north).

We next look at the question of whether Image 2 (likely containing binary C) is physically bound to Image 1 (hosting binaries A and B). For this analysis, we have two pieces of kinematic evidence: (i) the proper motions of Images 1 and 2 from Gaia DR3 (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2022); and (ii) the historical astrometric data, spanning 200 yr , of the WDS (Mason et al. 2001). This information is summarized in Table 4, and the WDS astrometric data are plotted in Fig. 7.

The two proper motion results (Gaia and WDS) evaluated at the Gaia epoch are in agreement on the proper motion of the declination (PM Dec.) to better than $1 \sigma$, while the proper motions of the right ascension (PM RA) differ by $2.9 \sigma$. We attribute this discrepancy to fitting a linear function to $\dot{\theta}^{2}$ over a 200-yr interval. The total relative velocity between Image 1 and Image 2 on the plane of the sky is in the range $5.55-5.74 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ depending on whether we choose to use the WDS or Gaia results, respectively.

To check whether Image 2 is physically bound to Image 1 , we take the escape speed to be
$v_{\mathrm{esc}} \simeq \sqrt{\frac{2 G M_{\mathrm{ABC}}}{s}}$,
where $M_{\text {tot }}$ is the total mass contained in Image 1 plus Image 2, and $s$ is the instantaneous (3D) separation of Image 1 and Image 2. As representative values, we estimate $M_{\mathrm{ABC}}=M_{\mathrm{A}}+M_{\mathrm{B}}+M_{\mathrm{C}}=$ $11.5 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ from Table 3, and $s \gtrsim 307 \mathrm{au}$, where the latter is the physical separation on the plane of the sky between Image 1 and Image 2. This leads to an estimate for $v_{\text {esc }} \lesssim 8.2 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Since this value is substantially larger than the relative speed of Image 1 versus Image 2 (at least in the plane of the sky), we tentatively take this as strong evidence that the Image 1 plus Image 2 system is physically bound. However, we remain unsure about the relative speed and separation in the direction along our line of sight.

Finally, we make another independent argument that also strongly suggests that Image 1 and Image 2 are physically bound. This argument relies on the fact that Image 2 is found so close in the sky to Image 1, with similar proper motions and distance, and the two are not too dissimilar in magnitude. We seek to quantify the relative occurrence rate of such a pair of stars. Using Gaia data, we searched for other stars with similar properties to those of Image 2. In particular, we looked for stars that have (i) PM RA within an absolute value of 1 mas $\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ of image 1; (ii) PM Dec. with absolute value within $5 \mathrm{mas}_{\mathrm{yr}}{ }^{-1}$ of Image 1; (iii) a parallax within absolute value of 0.2 compared to Image 1 ; and (iv) having a $G$ magnitude brighter than 9 . When we search the Gaia data base for other stars that satisfy these criteria, we find 13 such stars within $30^{\circ}$ of Image 1. Given that the search area is $\sim 10^{10}$ times larger than the area needed to include Image 2 (at $1 \operatorname{arcsec}$ distance from Image 1), we conclude that Image 1, with its given properties, is not remotely likely to be found there by accident.

The conclusion from the above argument is that either Image 2 is physically bound to Image 1 , or it is comoving with it by virtue of having been born in the same stellar nursery. The latter scenario can be ruled out rather readily. We have seen that the relative speed between Image 1 and Image 2 (on the plane of the sky) is 5.6 km $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$. The age of the system (from Table 3) is $\simeq 60 \mathrm{Myr}$. If the two images were unbound and merely approximately comoving on the sky, then during that time the two images would have drifted apart in the ensuing 60 Myr by some 300 pc . This is much, much larger than the current sky separation of 290 au. Thus, we conclude that Image 2 is physically bound to Image 1 .

Given the above discussion, we believe that the most likely configuration of this sextuple is a $(2+2)+2$ system, with the inner quadruple system containing binaries A and B situated in Image 1, and the third binary (C) in Image 2. There is also a slim chance, but not yet fully ruled out, that Image 1 hosts all three binaries, and Image 2 represents a seventh star or yet another binary ('D'). The most direct ways to prove our most likely scenario (that binary C is
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Figure 8. Probability distribution for the outer orbit of the V994 Her system. Results have been logarithmically binned. The black histogram is the differential probability distribution, while the red curve is the cumulative distribution.
in Image 2) is to (i) check for binary C eclipses in Image 2, and/or (ii) check for RV motions in Image 2 with $P=1.96 \mathrm{~d}$.

### 5.2 Outer orbital period distribution

Armed with only the relative velocity between Image 1 and Image 2 projected onto the sky, $v_{\text {sky }} \simeq 5.7 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and the projected separation on the sky, $s_{\text {sky }} \simeq 307 \mathrm{au}$, we attempt to estimate a probability distribution for the outer orbital period $P_{\text {out }}$ via a Monte Carlo approach. Let $\boldsymbol{s}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}$ be the full relative position and velocity vectors between Image 1 and Image 2. In that case
$\frac{s_{\text {sky }}}{s}=\sin \beta_{1}$,
$\frac{v_{\text {sky }}}{v}=\sin \beta_{2}$,
where $\beta_{1}$ is the angle between the observer's view direction and $s$ and $\beta_{2}$ is the angle between the view direction and $\boldsymbol{v}$. If we know nothing about the orientation of the orbit on the sky, then samples of $\sin \beta$ can be drawn randomly from
$\sin \beta=\sqrt{1-\mathcal{R}^{2}}$,
where $\mathcal{R}$ is a uniformly distributed random number between 0 and 1 . Here, as an approximation, we treat $\hat{\boldsymbol{s}}$ and $\hat{v}$ as independently and randomly directed with respect to the observer's view direction. So, we randomly draw $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$.

The energy of the outer orbit can now be written as
$\mathcal{E}=-\frac{G M_{1} M_{2}}{s_{\text {sky }}} \sin \beta_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{M_{1} M_{2}}{M_{\mathrm{ABC}}}\left(\frac{v_{\text {sky }}}{\sin \beta_{2}}\right)^{2}=-\frac{G M_{1} M_{2}}{2 a_{\text {out }}}$,
where $M_{1} \equiv M_{\mathrm{A}}+M_{\mathrm{B}}$ and $M_{2} \equiv M_{\mathrm{C}}$. This reduces to a simple expression for the semimajor axis of the outer orbit:
$\frac{1}{a_{\text {out }}}=\frac{2 \sin \beta_{1}}{s_{\text {sky }}}-\left(\frac{v_{\text {sky }}}{G M_{\mathrm{ABC}} \sin \beta_{2}}\right)^{2}$.
Finally, we make a large number of random draws for $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ and, for each combination, store the realization for the semimajor axis and corresponding $P_{\text {out }}$. The resultant distribution for $P_{\text {out }}$ is shown in Fig. 8. The distribution has a sharp cut at $\simeq 1500 \mathrm{yr}$, reflecting the fact that the minimum orbital separation is attained when $\beta_{1}=\beta_{2}=$ $90^{\circ}$, i.e. when the outer orbit is in the plane of the sky. However, since we do not know the orbital parameters in the line of sight,
long orbital periods are possible, as evidenced by the long tail of the period distribution in Fig. 8. The median of the orbital period distribution is close to 3000 yr .

We note that our uncertain knowledge of the outer orbit could be greatly improved with a RV study of Image 2 (which presumably hosts binary C).

### 5.3 Possible role of the 3:2 mean motion resonance

Zasche et al. (2019) presented a thorough analysis of stellar quadruple systems with a $2+2$ architecture that exhibit eclipses of both components, with binary periods less than 15 d . One of the interesting population results from this study was the identification of a statistically significant group of systems having a period ratio close to $3 / 2$. Zasche et al. (2019) speculated that these systems are either captured in the 3:2 mean motion resonance of the binary periods, or interacted with this resonance in the recent past and still reside close to it. One of the consequences for this class of systems would be a possible excitation of the orbital eccentricity of the binary with the longer period. The V994 Her system was considered in this class by Zasche et al. (2019). Now, with much more detailed information about V994 Her, we revise its status with respect to the group of objects that reside or interacted with the 3:2 resonance in the past.

We use an analytical description of the low-order mean motion resonances in $2+2$ quadruples by Tremaine (2020) (for completeness, see also Breiter \& Vokrouhlický 2018, who discuss the $1: 1$ mean motion resonant states in the $2+2$ quadruples). First, it is trivial to check that V994 Her is not currently located in the resonance since 1 $-\left(2 P_{\mathrm{A}} / 3 P_{\mathrm{B}}\right) \simeq 0.02204$ is too large (it would need to be three orders of magnitude smaller to be considered to possess this resonance). Tremaine (2020) also discusses sidebands of the pure 3:2 mean motion resonance between $P_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $P_{\mathrm{B}}$ generated by multiplets of the mean motion frequency $n_{\mathrm{AB}}$ of the mutual orbit. Their importance is typically very small, because the sideband width at frequency $k n_{\mathrm{AB}}$ has a multiplicative factor $\propto e_{\mathrm{AB}}^{|k|}(k$ is an integer $)$. Here, $e_{\mathrm{AB}} \simeq 0.7$ is a rather large value. However, to account for the three orders of magnitude in separation between the observed eccentricity and the requirement for resonance, $|k|$ would have to be greater than 30 , which is much too large. The system, however, may have crossed the resonance in the past; this could have contributed to an excitation of the $e_{\mathrm{A}}$ value.

In order to place the system into the exact 3:2 mean motion resonance, one would need to (i) increase $P_{\mathrm{A}}$ by $\Delta P_{\mathrm{A}} \simeq 0.046943 \mathrm{~d}$, (ii) decrease $P_{\mathrm{B}}$ by $\Delta P_{\mathrm{B}} \simeq 0.031296 \mathrm{~d}$, or (iii) perform some combination of the two operations. Additionally, in order to temporarily capture V994 Her in the 3:2 resonance in the past, $P_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $P_{\mathrm{B}}$ should have been converging towards each other. In what follows, we shall discuss an end-member possibility (i) that $P_{\mathrm{B}}$ was constant, and $P_{\mathrm{A}}$ was evolving from an initially larger value beyond the resonance condition toward the current value. However, identical conclusions are obtained for other options, such as keeping $P_{\mathrm{A}}$ constant and $P_{\mathrm{B}}$ increasing as in (ii), or their combination.

Using the results from appendix C of Tremaine (2020), we note that the putative past capture in the 3:2 resonance puts a severe constraint on the speed by which the period $P_{\mathrm{A}}$ decreased. In particular, denoting the corresponding characteristic time-scale $\tau_{\mathrm{A}}=P_{\mathrm{A}} / \dot{P}_{\mathrm{A}}$ (with $\dot{P}_{\mathrm{A}}=$ $\left.-\mathrm{d} P_{\mathrm{A}} / \mathrm{d} t\right)$, we find that
$\tau_{\mathrm{A}} \geq K\left(M_{\mathrm{B}} / \mu_{\mathrm{B}}\right)^{4 / 3}\left(a_{\mathrm{AB}} / a_{\mathrm{B}}\right)^{20 / 3} P_{\mathrm{A}}$,
where $K \simeq 1.74 \times 10^{-2}, M_{\mathrm{B}}$ and $\mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ represent the total and reduced masses of the shorter period binary component, $a_{\mathrm{B}}$ and $a_{\mathrm{AB}}$ are the semimajor axes of the B and $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{B}$ orbits, and $P_{\mathrm{A}}$ is the orbital period
of the A binary. Substituting the values from Table 3, we have $\tau_{\mathrm{A}} \geq$ 26 Gyr . Assuming an approximately steady decay of the A orbit, we then estimate a minimum time needed to accumulate the difference $\Delta P_{\mathrm{A}}$ between the resonance and the current state:
$\Delta T_{\mathrm{A}} \simeq \frac{\Delta P_{\mathrm{A}}}{P_{\mathrm{A}}} \tau_{\mathrm{A}} \geq 580 \mathrm{Myr}$.
This is nearly an order of magnitude longer than the estimated age of the V994 Her system (Table 3). Since other possibilities outlined above lead to the same result, such as $P_{\mathrm{B}}$ drifting toward its current value from an initially smaller value, we may conclude that the V994 Her system in all likelihood never interacted with the 3:2 mean motion resonance. Its location near to it might therefore be just coincidental. As a consequence, the $e_{\mathrm{A}}$ value is fully a relic of the initial state, with possible tidal damping. Indeed, the interaction with the $3: 2$ resonance would likely not be capable of explaining the significantly larger $e_{\mathrm{B}}$ value. The latter might be excited by interaction with the 2:1 mean motion resonance between $P_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $P_{\mathrm{B}}$ values; the location of this resonance, however, is much too distant from the current system parameters.

## 6 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have demonstrated that the first known doubly eclipsing system V994 Her is in fact at least a sextuple system that unambiguously demonstrates three sets of eclipses. Using TESS and archival data, we have disentangled the light curves of all three binaries in the system using three different techniques and added new measurements to the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}$ diagrams of binaries A and B . We have also identified the period of the newly discovered binary C to be 1.9601 d , based on TESS and older ground-based data. Finally, we used archival data from the WDS (spanning over 190 yr) alongside parameters from Gaia DR3 in order to prove that the fainter visual companion on the night sky (1.1 arcsec distant) is likely gravitationally bound to this system and may harbour binary C.

Depending on the nature of the companion star, this could be either a rare $(2+2)+2$ sextuple star system - similar to the well-known system Castor, with the same architecture (see e.g. Stelzer \& Burwitz 2003; Tokovinin 2018). Another possibility is that the brighter star has six unresolvable stars, and the nearby visual companion is another bound member of the system, making it even more interesting - a potential septuple (or even higher multiplicity) star system. Using additional data, we can more precisely derive the outer orbit; moreover, updated higher angular-resolution photometry would be able to firmly prove whether or not the C pair resides in the fainter nearby component. We urge the community to observe these interesting stars using the tools at their disposal. The high angular separation techniques (both in photometry and spectroscopy) would be able to prove the true structure of the system. As the separation of the visual pair on the night sky is slowly decreasing, it may become increasingly difficult to carry out these observations as time goes on.
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APPENDIX A: TABLE OF TIMES OF ECLIPSES

Table A1. TESS observed times of minima of V994 Her A.

| Eclipse time <br> BJD -2400000 | Cycle no. | Std. dev. <br> (d) | Eclipse time <br> BJD -2400000 | Cycle no. | Std. dev. <br> $(\mathrm{d})$ | Eclipse time <br> BJD -2400000 | Cycle no. | Std. dev. <br> $(\mathrm{d})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59011.208388 | 0.0 | 0.000068 | 59393.510297 | 183.5 | 0.000244 | 59744.520841 | 352.0 | 0.000102 |
| 59012.282173 | 0.5 | 0.000038 | 59394.519437 | 184.0 | 0.000103 | 59745.592606 | 352.5 | 0.000108 |
| 59013.291644 | 1.0 | 0.000027 | 59395.593429 | 184.5 | 0.000134 | 59746.604119 | 353.0 | 0.000102 |
| 59014.365223 | 1.5 | 0.000039 | 59396.602611 | 185.0 | 0.000128 | 59747.675710 | 353.5 | 0.000085 |
| 59015.375104 | 2.0 | 0.000028 | 59397.676809 | 185.5 | 0.000113 | 59748.686773 | 354.0 | 0.000100 |
| 59016.448767 | 2.5 | 0.000038 | 59398.686003 | 186.0 | 0.000110 | 59749.759375 | 354.5 | 0.000097 |
| 59017.458488 | 3.0 | 0.000028 | 59399.760013 | 186.5 | 0.000116 | 59750.770102 | 355.0 | 0.000093 |
| 59018.532198 | 3.5 | 0.000044 | 59400.769258 | 187.0 | 0.000105 | 59751.842493 | 355.5 | 0.000101 |
| 59019.541632 | 4.0 | 0.000028 | 59401.843411 | 187.5 | 0.000115 | 59752.853041 | 356.0 | 0.000097 |
| 59020.615100 | 4.5 | 0.000036 | 59402.852513 | 188.0 | 0.000098 | 59753.926086 | 356.5 | 0.000109 |
| 59021.624954 | 5.0 | 0.000030 | 59403.926596 | 188.5 | 0.000173 | 59754.937116 | 357.0 | 0.000153 |
| 59023.708034 | 6.0 | 0.000040 | 59407.018862 | 190.0 | 0.000150 | 59757.020019 | 358.0 | 0.000086 |
| 59025.791322 | 7.0 | 0.000031 | 59408.093094 | 190.5 | 0.000142 | 59759.103225 | 359.0 | 0.000084 |
| 59027.874755 | 8.0 | 0.000029 | 59410.176195 | 191.5 | 0.000125 | 59760.175520 | 359.5 | 0.000103 |
| 59028.948286 | 8.5 | 0.000040 | 59411.185709 | 192.0 | 0.000125 | 59761.186560 | 360.0 | 0.000087 |
| 59029.957752 | 9.0 | 0.000028 | 59412.259529 | 192.5 | 0.000132 | 59762.259204 | 360.5 | 0.000102 |
| 59031.031620 | 9.5 | 0.000041 | 59413.268755 | 193.0 | 0.000107 | 59763.269832 | 361.0 | 0.000082 |
| 59032.041094 | 10.0 | 0.000030 | 59414.342947 | 193.5 | 0.000129 | 59764.342122 | 361.5 | 0.000094 |
| 59033.114938 | 10.5 | 0.000039 | 59415.352144 | 194.0 | 0.000113 | 59765.353549 | 362.0 | 0.000092 |
| 59034.124636 | 11.0 | 0.000032 | 59416.426295 | 194.5 | 0.000120 | 59766.426020 | 362.5 | 0.000132 |
| 59391.426417 | 182.5 | 0.004700 | 59417.435270 | 195.0 | 0.000112 | 59767.436725 | 363.0 | 0.000098 |
| 59392.436550 | 183.0 | 0.000110 | 59418.509214 | 195.5 | 0.000165 | 59768.508944 | 363.5 | 0.000190 |

Table A2. TESS observed times of minima of V994 Her B.

| Eclipse time <br> BJD -2400000 | Cycle no. | Std. dev. <br> $(\mathrm{d})$ | Eclipse time <br> BJD -2400000 | Cycle no. | Std. dev. | Eclipse time <br> (d) | CJD -2400000 | Cycle no. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table A3. TESS observed times of minima of V994 Her C

| Eclipse time <br> BJD -2400000 | Cycle no. | Std. dev. <br> (d) | Eclipse time <br> BJD -2400000 | Cycle no. | Std. dev. <br> (d) | Eclipse time <br> BJD -2400000 | Cycle no. | Std. dev. <br> (d) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59011.124177 | 0.0 | 0.000157 | 59392.220634 | 194.5 | 0.002012 | 59418.829817 | 208.0 |  |
| 59011.953279 | 0.5 | 0.000895 | 59393.348483 | 195.0 | 0.000439 | 59744.209501 | 374.0 | 0.000596 |
| 59013.083475 | 1.0 | 0.000176 | 59394.181279 | 195.5 | 0.001782 | 59746.169255 | 375.0 | 0.001378 |
| 59013.916603 | 1.5 | 0.000925 | 59395.308080 | 196.0 | 0.000453 | 59746.993487 | 375.5 | 0.002726 |
| 59015.045185 | 2.0 | 0.000170 | 59396.130606 | 196.5 | 0.002677 | 59748.129163 | 376.0 | 0.000505 |
| 59015.878338 | 2.5 | 0.000773 | 59397.270147 | 197.0 | 0.000509 | 59748.960592 | 376.5 | 0.002910 |
| 59017.005797 | 3.0 | 0.000173 | 59398.082565 | 197.5 | 0.007348 | 59750.091678 | 377.0 | 0.000459 |
| 59017.835692 | 3.5 | 0.000770 | 59399.229029 | 198.0 | 0.000449 | 59750.911553 | 377.5 | 0.016446 |
| 59018.965311 | 4.0 | 0.000174 | 59401.187681 | 199.0 | 0.000375 | 59752.050606 | 378.0 | 0.000432 |
| 59019.796083 | 4.5 | 0.001014 | 59402.019831 | 199.5 | 0.007591 | 59752.873428 | 378.5 | 0.057992 |
| 59020.925121 | 5.0 | 0.000169 | 59403.148862 | 200.0 | 0.000397 | 59754.009831 | 379.0 | 0.000405 |
| 59021.753129 | 5.5 | 0.001266 | 59403.972393 | 200.5 | 0.003418 | 59754.822117 | 379.5 | 0.003540 |
| 59023.720603 | 6.5 | 0.001604 | 59405.927779 | 201.5 | 0.004661 | 59755.972515 | 380.0 | 0.000694 |
| 59024.845884 | 7.0 | 0.000172 | 59407.069016 | 202.0 | 0.000706 | 59757.931960 | 381.0 | 0.000433 |
| 59025.682738 | 7.5 | 0.001401 | 59407.894095 | 202.5 | 0.057096 | 59758.756173 | 381.5 | 0.005398 |
| 59026.806343 | 8.0 | 0.000171 | 59409.028342 | 203.0 | 0.000656 | 59759.890913 | 382.0 | 0.000483 |
| 59027.640483 | 8.5 | 0.000943 | 59409.856410 | 203.5 | 0.002463 | 59760.706877 | 382.5 | 0.010731 |
| 59028.767693 | 9.0 | 0.000186 | 59410.989522 | 204.0 | 0.000463 | 59761.850436 | 383.0 | 0.000442 |
| 59029.597642 | 9.5 | 0.001409 | 59411.812507 | 204.5 | 0.003274 | 59762.669061 | 383.5 | 0.003683 |
| 59030.727133 | 10.0 | 0.000175 | 59412.949612 | 205.0 | 0.000503 | 59763.811861 | 384.0 | 0.000536 |
| 59031.559275 | 10.5 | 0.000946 | 59414.908974 | 206.0 | 0.000444 | 59764.636994 | 384.5 | 0.001506 |
| 59032.688509 | 11.0 | 0.000180 | 59415.758940 | 206.5 | 0.002578 | 59765.771756 | 385.0 | 0.000524 |
| 59033.522849 | 11.5 | 0.001363 | 59416.870114 | 207.0 | 0.000460 | 59767.732579 | 386.0 | 0.000409 |
| 59034.648313 | 12.0 | 0.000173 | 59417.696368 | 207.5 | 0.001980 | 59768.560018 | 386.5 | 0.007387 |
| 59391.388607 | 194.0 | 0.000580 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A4. New, unpublished eclipse times of V994 Her for binaries A, B, and C.

| Eclipse time $\text { BJD - } 2400000$ | Std. dev. <br> (d) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pair } \\ {[\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B} / \mathrm{C}]} \end{gathered}$ | Type [P/S] | Reference/ observer | Eclipse time BJD - 2400000 | Std. dev. <br> (d) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pair } \\ {[\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B} / \mathrm{C}]} \end{gathered}$ | Type <br> [P/S] | Reference/ observer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 57843.57013 | 0.00045 | A | S | RU | 59802.47556 | 0.00045 | B | S | RU |
| 57855.59639 | 0.00073 | B | S | RU | 59804.49687 | 0.00048 | B | P | RU |
| 57902.45775 | 0.00069 | B | S | RU | 59815.34773 | 0.00192 | A | P | RU |
| 57916.48823 | 0.00185 | A | S | RU | 59816.42734 | 0.00148 | A | S | RU |
| 57917.49528 | 0.00029 | A | P | RU | 59817.43375 | 0.00030 | A | P | RU |
| 57940.40624 | 0.00014 | A | P | RU | 59817.43433 | 0.00036 | A | P | FRAM |
| 57946.48532 | 0.00097 | B | S | RU | 59818.50532 | 0.00052 | A | S | FRAM |
| 57968.38272 | 0.00099 | B | P | RU | 59121.62037 | 0.00054 | A | P | GP |
| 58232.53401 | 0.00037 | B | P | RU | 52509.78153 | 0.00211 | B | P | SD \& UM |
| 58257.48416 | 0.00075 | B | S | RU | 52510.52766 | 0.00651 | B | S | SD \& UM |
| 58290.38397 | 0.00021 | A | P | RU | 52702.91006 | 0.00275 | B | P | SD \& UM |
| 58343.53778 | 0.00079 | A | S | RU | 52703.66135 | 0.00066 | B | S | SD \& UM |
| 58387.30392 | 0.00032 | B | P | RU | 52810.86153 | 0.00098 | B | P | SD \& UM |
| 58565.62065 | 0.00055 | B | S | RU | 52811.60611 | 0.00190 | B | S | SD \& UM |
| 58570.62894 | 0.00082 | A | S | RU | 53096.26742 | 0.00274 | B | P | SD \& UM |
| 58571.63882 | 0.00069 | A | P | RU | 53097.02123 | 0.00193 | B | S | SD \& UM |
| 58593.55169 | 0.00068 | A | S | RU | 53171.53199 | 0.00181 | B | P | SD \& UM |
| 58614.50216 | 0.00135 | B | P | RU | 53172.28521 | 0.00092 | B | S | SD \& UM |
| 58667.47186 | 0.00029 | A | P | RU | 52692.65792 | 0.00048 | A | P | SD \& UM |
| 58689.37993 | 0.00062 | A | S | RU | 52715.57549 | 0.00129 | A | P | SD \& UM |
| 58957.55352 | 0.00149 | B | S | RU | 53139.55537 | 0.00089 | A | S | SD \& UM |
| 58991.45731 | 0.00075 | A | S | RU | 52509.33511 | 0.00026 | A | P | SD \& UM |
| 59023.47973 | 0.00039 | B | P | RU | 52510.40944 | 0.00040 | A | S | SD \& UM |
| 59043.52943 | 0.00062 | A | S | RU | 52735.40298 | 0.00091 | A | S | SD \& UM |
| 59040.37413 | 0.00091 | A | P | RU | 52825.97834 | 0.00148 | A | P | SD \& UM |
| 59040.52213 | 0.00085 | B | P | RU | 52827.05749 | 0.00202 | A | S | SD \& UM |
| 59089.36019 | 0.00045 | A | S | RU | 53102.05902 | 0.00052 | A | S | SD \& UM |
| 59102.40213 | 0.00043 | B | S | RU | 53171.80904 | 0.00057 | A | P | SD \& UM |
| 59343.51031 | 0.00033 | A | S | RU | 52687.49036 | 0.00950 | A | S | SD \& UM |
| 59349.50020 | 0.00025 | B | S | RU | 59831.47733 | 0.00027 | B | P | FRAM |
| 59361.46286 | 0.00064 | B | P | FRAM | 59840.35162 | 0.00046 | A | P | RU |
| 59367.43420 | 0.00017 | A | P | RU | 54669.45443 | 0.00100 | C | P | MR13 |
| 59369.51977 | 0.00030 | A | P | MM | 59101.29077 | 0.00531 | C | P | RU |
| 59392.43409 | 0.00019 | A | P | RU | 59150.29198 | 0.00134 | C | P | RU |
| 59416.42568 | 0.00040 | A | S | FRAM | 59152.25463 | 0.00389 | C | P | RU |
| 59419.52306 | 0.00038 | A | P | RU | 59279.67396 | 0.00770 | C | P | RU |
| 59425.36003 | 0.00070 | B | P | RU | 59332.58531 | 0.00473 | C | P | RU |
| 59463.27078 | 0.00245 | A | P | RU | 59338.46323 | 0.00633 | C | P | RU |
| 59465.35947 | 0.00037 | A | P | RU | 59436.47808 | 0.00354 | C | P | RU |
| 59677.51573 | 0.00055 | B | S | RU | 59438.44039 | 0.00257 | C | P | RU |
| 59679.53400 | 0.00044 | B | P | RU | 59497.24210 | 0.01257 | C | P | RU |
| 59717.43638 | 0.00055 | A | P | RU | 59675.60538 | 0.00101 | C | P | RU |
| 59718.50933 | 0.00034 | A | S | RU | 59681.49018 | 0.00107 | C | P | RU |
| 59742.43700 | 0.00079 | A | P | RU | 59779.49300 | 0.00100 | C | P | RU |
| 59767.43486 | 0.00021 | A | P | RU | 52819.10680 | 0.00232 | C | P | SD \& UM |
| 59767.57676 | 0.00069 | B | P | RU | 52693.65303 | 0.00273 | C | P | SD \& UM |
| 59775.49098 | 0.00054 | B | S | RU | 59828.49557 | 0.00325 | C | P | FRAM |
| 59787.45377 | 0.00079 | B | P | RU | 59830.45265 | 0.00304 | C | P | FRAM |
| 59791.42428 | 0.00071 | A | S | RU |  |  |  |  |  |

$\overline{\text { Note. GP }=\text { Gerald Persha, see http://var2.astro.cz; SD \& UM }=\text { S. Dallaporta \& U. Munari; RU }=\text { R. Uhlař; MM = M. Mašek; MR13 = Martín-Ruiz et al. }}$ (2013).

This paper has been typeset from a $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X} / \mathrm{EAT}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{X}$ file prepared by the author.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This search makes use of the LCTools desktop application (Schmitt, Hartman \& Kipping 2019) to view and study light curves.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2} \dot{\theta} \equiv$ rate of change in the position angle.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ https://www.cosmos.esa.int/gaia
    ${ }^{4}$ https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia/dpac/consortium

